

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Analysis of an Instrumented Projectile

by S. A. Wilkerson
and M. Palathingal

ARL-MR-383

December 1997

19980121 112

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

ARL-MR-383

December 1997

Analysis of an Instrumented Projectile

S. A. Wilkerson

Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

M. Palathingal

U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center

Abstract

A preliminary analysis of the M831E1 instrumented heat round was performed using the DYNA3D finite element code. The purpose of this initial analysis was to estimate the magnitude of the vertical and horizontal accelerations of an M831E1 during launch. The analysis results indicate that during a normal (firings that are not hot) launch the vertical accelerations will be in the range of $\pm 800\text{g's}$ and the horizontal accelerations are of order $\pm 500\text{ g's}$. The acceleration estimates are taken at the same location as the instrumentation package's accelerometers. These initial estimates are undoubtedly low in as much as the recoil of the gun system was not included in this analysis. However, it is planned to include gun recoil and a more concise structural modeling of the instrumentation package at a later date. Experience with kinetic energy projectiles of the same caliber have shown that gun recoil and tube shape contribute equally to the dynamic path of the bullet. Therefore, it is postulated that not more than a doubling of the observed accelerations will occur when both of these attributes are included in the analysis.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the U.S. Army Research, Development, and Engineering Center at Picatinny Arsenal whose sponsorship made the study possible. The authors would also like to express their thanks to CPT Robert Wineinger for reviewing this material for technical content, and to Mr. Andy Brant for supplying the XKTC analysis.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Acknowledgments | iii |
| List of Figures | vii |
| 1. Analysis | 1 |
| 2. Model | 1 |
| 3. Bullet Model | 1 |
| 3.1 Sabot | 2 |
| 3.2 Projectile Housing | 3 |
| 3.3 Tail | 3 |
| 3.4 Instrumentation Package | 4 |
| 3.5 Obturator | 4 |
| 3.6 Wind Screen | 5 |
| 4. Gun Tube Model | 6 |
| 5. Results | 6 |
| Distribution List | 9 |
| Report Documentation Page | 11 |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

List of Figures

| <u>Figure</u> | | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 1. | Gun Tube and Bullet Discretization | 2 |
| 2. | Sabot Model | 2 |
| 3. | Main Projectile Housing | 3 |
| 4. | Tail Section and Fins | 3 |
| 5. | Telemetry Package | 4 |
| 6. | Obturator | 5 |
| 7. | Nose Cap and Base | 5 |
| 8. | Vertical Tube Shape | 6 |
| 9. | Vertical Accelerations at Accelerometer Package | 7 |
| 10. | Horizontal Accelerations at Accelerometer Package | 8 |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Analysis

A finite element model of an M830E1 experimental heat round was assembled inside an M256 120-mm gun tube using the PATRAN pre- and post-processing software. The total model consisted of 18 primary parts, and each piece was discretized into a series of eight noded solid brick elements. The final model contained 15,834 solid elements, with 21,642 nodes and over 120,000 degrees of freedom. The model was analyzed using the DYNA3D finite element program on an SGI Indigo II workstation with an approximate run time of 12 hr.

2. Model

The model consists of two primary parts, the bullet and the gun tube. A model of the bullet is positioned inside a model of the gun tube with sliding interfaces between their surfaces. The aft ramp of the bullet is loaded with a pressure that is calculated using the interior ballistic code XKTC-Nova. The bullet rides the gun tube along the obturator and the front bore rider. Sliding interfaces provide the contact algorithm between the gun tube and bullet allowing the bullet to accelerate down the inside of the gun tube while forcing the bullet to follow the tube's nonstraight profile. The profile from gun tube no. 4087 was chosen for this simulation. Additional movement is typically transmitted to the bullet via the gun recoil system during a firing. However, for this initial analysis, the gun system was not included. In subsequent analyses, it is intended that the full gun recoil model will be included. A three-dimensional depiction of the gun and bullet models is shown in Figure 1.

3. Bullet Model

The bullet model was broken into six primary parts: sabot, projectile housing, tail flair and fins, instrumentation package, obturator, and a wind screen. A brief description of each is given here.




Figure 1. Gun Tube and Bullet Discretization.

3.1 Sabot. The aluminum sabot consists of three individual petals, each spanning 120° and attaching to the projectile housing via a series of interlocking threads. The approximation for the attachment is accomplished using a smeared interface region of elements consisting of an average of the sabot's material properties and the projectile housing material (Figure 2).*




Figure 2. Sabot Model.

* This is a standard procedure for approximating the threaded region between a sabot and a long rod penetrator for kinetic energy projectiles. The technique was originally validated by Dr. Rabern of the Los Alamos National Laboratories.

3.2 Projectile Housing. The projectile housing is a steel body that will support the instrument package and is connected to the sabot petals through the smeared interface region (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Main Projectile Housing.

3.3 Tail. The tail consists of an aluminum tail section that connects to the steel body rigidly and a set of aluminum fins that attach to this aluminum tail section (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Tail Section and Fins.

3.4 Instrumentation Package. The instrumentation package has a body, shown in green, with five primary components separated by aluminum barriers (red) and further supported by aluminum rings (blue). The instrumentation packages are: The accelerometer, power supply, signal condenser, mux, and transmitter. The antenna on the front of the package is not modeled discretely but is included as part of the housing (Figure 5).

Radial Thruster TM




Figure 5. Telemetry Package.

3.5 Obturator. The obturator is made of a nylon-type material (Zytel 101) and is rigidly attached to the sabot petals (Figure 6).




Figure 6. Obturator.

3.6 Wind Screen. The wind screen consists of an aluminum two-piece nose cap and several filler plugs used in the forward section to fill the air space (Figure 7).




Figure 7. Nose Cap and Base.

4. Gun Tube Model

Gun tube no. 4087 was used due to its unusual shape. It is believed that differences in tube shape have an effect on the center of impact fall of shot variance typically seen between two different tanks. This particular tube was chosen in as much as it fell outside what is normally observed in tube shapes. This was done to give the projectile a more energetic, or bumpy, ride inbore, thereby helping to compensate for the lack of the gun recoil model. The vertical deviations from straightness for tube shape no. 4087 are shown in Figure 8.




Figure 8. Vertical Tube Shape.

5. Results

The DYNA3D post-processing package TAURUS allows displacements, velocities, and accelerations to be computed at specific locations on the model or averaged over an area. For this application, the accelerations were averaged for the material used to represent the accelerometer package within the instrumentation package. Figure 9 shows the vertical acceleration, and Figure 10 shows the horizontal accelerations for the accelerometers.





Figure 9. Vertical Accelerations at Accelerometer Package.




Figure 10. Horizontal Accelerations at Accelerometer Package.

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> | <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| 2 | DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER DTIC DDA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218 | 1 | INST FOR ADVNCED TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN PO BOX 202797 AUSTIN TX 78720-2797 |
| 1 | HQDA DAMO FDQ DENNIS SCHMIDT 400 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460 | 1 | USAASA MOAS AI W PARRON 9325 GUNSTON RD STE N319 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5582 |
| 1 | CECOM SP & TRRSTRL COMMCTN DIV AMSEL RD ST MC M H SOICHER FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5203 | 1 | CECOM PM GPS COL S YOUNG FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703 |
| 1 | PRIN DPTY FOR TCHNLGY HQ US ARMY MATCOM AMCDCG T M FISSETTE 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001 | 1 | GPS JOINT PROG OFC DIR COL J CLAY 2435 VELA WAY STE 1613 LOS ANGELES AFB CA 90245-5500 |
| 1 | PRIN DPTY FOR ACQUSTN HQS US ARMY MATCOM AMCDCG A D ADAMS 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001 | 1 | ELECTRONIC SYS DIV DIR CECOM RDEC J NIEMELA FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703 |
| 1 | DPTY CG FOR RDE HQS US ARMY MATCOM AMCRD BG BEAUCHAMP 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001 | 3 | DARPA L STOTTS J PENNELLA B KASPAR 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714 |
| 1 | DPTY ASSIST SCY FOR R&T SARD TT T KILLION THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103 | 1 | USAF SMC/CED DMA/JPO M ISON 2435 VELA WAY STE 1613 LOS ANGELES AFB CA 90245-5500 |
| 1 | OSD OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R) J LUPO THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100 | 1 | US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR OF EXCELLENCE DEPT OF MATHEMATICAL SCI MDN A MAJ DON ENGEN THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786 |
| | | 1 | DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CS AL TP 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145 |

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRL CS AL TA
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

3 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRL CI LL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

4 DIR USARL
AMSRL CI LP (305)

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 DIRECTOR
US ARMY ARDEC
AMSTA AR CCH A
M PALATHINGAL
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ
07806-5000

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

2 DIR USARL
AMSRL WM PB
S WILKERSON

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | | | 2. REPORT DATE December 1997 | | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, Aug 96 - Aug 97 | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Analysis of an Instrumented Projectile | | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS 622618AH80 | | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Stephen A. Wilkerson and M. Palathingal | | | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WM-PD Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-MR-383 | | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER | | | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited | | | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE | | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A preliminary analysis of the M831E1 instrumented heat round was performed using the DYNA3D finite element code. The purpose of this initial analysis was to estimate the magnitude of the vertical and horizontal accelerations of an M831E1 during launch. The analysis results indicate that during a normal (firings that are not hot) launch the vertical accelerations will be in the range of $\pm 800\text{g's}$ and the horizontal accelerations are of order $\pm 500\text{ g's}$. The acceleration estimates are taken at the same location as the instrumentation package's accelerometers. These initial estimates are undoubtedly low in as much as the recoil of the gun system was not included in this analysis. However, it is planned to include gun recoil and a more concise structural modeling of the instrumentation package at a later date. Experience with kinetic energy projectiles of the same caliber have shown that gun recoil and tube shape contribute equally to the dynamic path of the bullet. Therefore, it is postulated that not more than a doubling of the observed accelerations will occur when both of these attributes are included in the analysis. | | | | | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS heat round, simulation, finite element | | | | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16 | |
| | | | | | 16. PRICE CODE | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL | | | |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number/Author ARL-MR-383 (Wilkerson) Date of Report December 1997

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

Organization _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Name _____ E-mail Name _____

Street or P.O. Box No. _____

City, State, Zip Code _____

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

Organization _____

OLD
ADDRESS

Name _____


Street or P.O. Box No. _____

City, State, Zip Code _____

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



**NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES**

A set of horizontal bars consisting of five thick black lines above a group of nine thinner black lines, used for postal processing.

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 0001,APG,MD

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

**DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRL WM PB
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005-5066**
